UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,076	01/10/2006	01/10/2006 Rudolf Bohdal		6000
	7590 07/15/200 dson & Kappel, LLC	EXAMINER		
485 7th Avenue		YOUNGER, SEAN JERRARD		
14th Floor New York, NY	10018	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3745	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Aı	Application No. Applicant(s)						
		1	0/564,076		BOHDAL, RUDOLF				
		Ex	xaminer		Art Unit				
			ean J. Young		3745				
The M. Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this commun	nication appear	s on the cover	sheet with the co	orrespondence ac	ldress			
WHICHEVER - Extensions of tin after SIX (6) MO - If NO period for I - Failure to reply v Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD F IS LONGER, FROM THE N ne may be available under the provisions NTHS from the mailing date of this coming reply is specified above, the maximum solithin the set or extended period for reply and by the Office later than three months trm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a) munication. tatutory period will ap will, by statute, caus	E OF THIS CO In no event, howen pply and will expire see the application to	DMMUNICATION Ever, may a reply be tim SIX (6) MONTHS from I D become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this coorsists U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1) Respon	sive to communication(s) file	ed on 23 Febru	Jany 2009						
· <u> </u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 February 2009</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
<i>7</i> —		/ —			secution as to the	e merits is			
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of C	laims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) 17-19 21-29 and 31-33 is/a	are pending in	the applicatio	n.					
	Claim(s) <u>17-19,21-29 and 31-33</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
<i>'</i> = '	5)								
·) is/are objected to.	aro rojocioa.							
) are subject to restri	ction and/or ele	ection require	ment.					
Application Pape									
·· _ ·									
•	cification is objected to by th			\ <u>\</u>					
•	wing(s) filed on <u>20 April 200</u>	•		-	-				
	t may not request that any obje			-		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) Ine oati	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice of Drafts	ences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (I closure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) hil Date	PTO-948)	5)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/564,076 Page 2

Art Unit: 3745

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17-19, 21-29 and 31-33 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 17-19, 29 and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawakami et al. [U.S. 5,733,498]. Regarding claims 17 and 33, Kawakami et al. disclose a method for manufacturing vane segments for a gas turbine comprising the steps of providing a plurality of vanes, and manufacturing a vane segment via powder metallurgy. The step of manufacturing includes the steps of mixing a metal powder having a binding agent to form a homogeneous material [column 14, line 65 column 15, line 3], forming at least one molded body from the homogeneous material via injection molding [column 15, lines 23-25], subjecting the at least one molded body to a debinding process [column 15, lines 52-53], and compressing the at least one molded body via sintering to form the vane segment [column 15, lines 53-54].
- 4. Regarding claims 18 and 19, the guide vane segment includes four guide vanes.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,076 Page 3

Art Unit: 3745

5. Regarding claim 29, Kawakami et al. disclose a component for a gas turbine comprising a guide vane segment (11) manufactured from a plurality of guide vanes via poweder mettalurgy injection molding.

6. Regarding claims 31 and 32, the guide vane segment includes four guide vanes connected via an inner cover band (13) and an outer cover band (12).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawakami et al. [U.S. 5,733,498] in view of Sugihara et al. [U.S. 5,554,338]. Kawakami et al. disclose all elements substantially as clamed, but fail to disclose the order of joining and debinding steps. Sugihara et al. teach a method of preparing a composite sintered body, where a molded body for each part is prepared and then the molded bodies are joined together in the green state prior to the debinding and sintering process to form one molded body. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Ford to include the ability to form individual elements and join them before debinding and sintering, as taught by Sugihara et al., because the technique for a particular process

Application/Control Number: 10/564,076

Art Unit: 3745

was within the capabilities of a person of ordinary skill, in view of the teaching of the technique for improvement in similar situations.

Page 4

- 9. Claims 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawakami et al. [U.S. 5,733,498] in view of Gegel et al. [U.S. 6,551,551]. Kawakami et al. disclose all elements substantially as clamed, but fail to disclose the order of joining and debinding steps. Gegel et al. teach a a method of preparing a composite sintered body, where separate molded bodies go through a debinding process before they are joined in a presintered state to form one body [column 6, lines 20-25], and are subsequently subjected to a uniform sintering process. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Ford to include the ability to form individual elements and join them before debinding and sintering, as taught by Sugihara et al., because the technique for a particular process was within the capabilities of a person of ordinary skill, in view of the teaching of the technique for improvement in similar situations.
- 10. Claims 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawakami et al. [U.S. 5,733,498] in view of Ford Motor Company, Ltd. (Ford) [GB 1,470,949]. Kawakami et al. disclose all elements substantially as clamed, but fail to disclose that a joint molded body is formed, via injection molding, for all vanes of the segment. Ford teaches that a complex molded body comprising multiple airfoils (12) and a supporting cover band (14) can be formed in one piece, via injection molding. It

Art Unit: 3745

would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Ford to include the ability to form individual elements and join them before debinding and sintering, as taught by Sugihara et al., because the technique for a particular process was within the capabilities of a person of ordinary skill, in view of the teaching of the technique for improvement in similar situations.

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 12. The patent to French et al. [U.S. 4,456,713] discloses material compositions for injection molding, including binder.
- 13. The patent to Meinhardt et al. [U.S. 6,562,290] disclose a method for making an article by powder metallurgy injection molding comprising mixing, molding, debinding and sintering steps, including teaching equivalence between metallic and metal-ceramic composite components in the powder injection molding process.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SEAN J. YOUNGER whose telephone number is (571)270-3763. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00 EST, Alt. Fri off.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,076 Page 6

Art Unit: 3745

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look can be reached on 571-272-4820. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sean J. Younger/ Examiner, Art Unit 3745

/Edward K. Look/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3745